


The book was found

# The Scientific Buddha: His Short And Happy Life (The Terry Lectures Series)



## Synopsis

This book tells the story of the Scientific Buddha, "born" in Europe in the 1800s but commonly confused with the Buddha born in India 2,500 years ago. The Scientific Buddha was sent into battle against Christian missionaries, who were proclaiming across Asia that Buddhism was a form of superstition. He proved the missionaries wrong, teaching a dharma that was in harmony with modern science. And his influence continues. Today his teaching of "mindfulness" is heralded as the cure for all manner of maladies, from depression to high blood pressure. In this potent critique, a well-known chronicler of the West's encounter with Buddhism demonstrates how the Scientific Buddha's teachings deviate in crucial ways from those of the far older Buddha of ancient India. Donald Lopez shows that the Western focus on the Scientific Buddha threatens to bleach Buddhism of its vibrancy, complexity, and power, even as the superficial focus on "mindfulness" turns Buddhism into merely the latest self-help movement. The Scientific Buddha has served his purpose, Lopez argues. It is now time for him to pass into nirvana. This is not to say, however, that the teachings of the ancient Buddha must be dismissed as mere cultural artifacts. They continue to present a potent challenge, even to our modern world.

## Book Information

### Series: The Terry Lectures Series

Hardcover: 168 pages

Publisher: Yale University Press (September 25, 2012)

Language: English

ISBN-10: 0300159129

ISBN-13: 978-0300159127

Product Dimensions: 5.5 x 0.6 x 8.2 inches

Shipping Weight: 12 ounces (View shipping rates and policies)

Average Customer Review: 3.5 out of 5 stars 8 customer reviews

Best Sellers Rank: #371,851 in Books (See Top 100 in Books) #122 in Books > Politics & Social Sciences > Philosophy > Eastern > Buddhism > History #152 in Books > History > World > Religious > Buddhism #597 in Books > Religion & Spirituality > Religious Studies > Science & Religion

## Customer Reviews

"This edifying and often witty book is not only about busting myths. It also ventures what Buddhism ~~is~~ is now purged of the apocryphal "scientific Buddha"~~is~~ • ~~is~~ ~~is~~ ~~is~~

might indeed teach the world today about altruism and the self."Ã¢ ¸•Janet Gyatso, Harvard University (Janet Gyatso 2012-05-29)Ã¢ ¸"There could be no more appropriate book for the Terry Lecture Series because this one so meticulously compares contemporary Ã¢ ¸ÃœsciencesÃ¢ ¸,¢ with what most of the world would acknowledge as an important and influential Ã¢ ¸Ãœreligion.Ã¢ ¸,¢Ã¢ ¸Ã•Ã¢ ¸•Dale B. Martin, author of New Testament History and Literature (Dale B. Martin 2012-04-11)Ã¢ ¸"Donald LopezÃ¢ ¸,¢s light-hearted biography of the Scientific Buddha sets the record straight by exposing the false resonance and pious misunderstandings between Buddhism and modern science. An eminently readable book, and a must for anyone interested in the convergence (or lack thereof) of these two traditions.Ã¢ ¸Ã•Ã¢ ¸•Bernard Faure, Columbia University (Bernard Faure 2012-05-29)"The Scientific BuddhaÃ¢ ¸ is a welcome and timely intervention in the religion-and-science debates. In this eloquent and exquisitely crafted volume, Donald Lopez takes on the ill-begotten notion that Buddhism is a "science of happiness" that prefigures, and is fully consonant with, the findings of modern science. But the book is much more than a critique of slipshod appropriations or representations of Buddhism; Lopez goes on to argue that the real contribution of Buddhism may lie precisely in itsÃ¢ ¸ critiqueÃ¢ ¸ of contemporary scientific hubris. Lopez's analysis is grounded in impeccable scholarship and a deep appreciation for Buddhist doctrine and history. It is also an absolute delight to read."Ã¢ ¸•Robert Sharf, University of California, Berkeley (Robert Sharf 2012-05-29)Ã¢ ¸Ã•Ã¢ ¸|offers a new and original perspective on how to understand the comparative relationship that has formed between Buddhism and science among the interested, educated publicÃ¢ ¸•in the Ã¢ ¸ÃœWestÃ¢ ¸,¢ as well as increasingly across AsiaÃ¢ ¸•over the last two centuries."Ã¢ ¸•Todd Lewis, co-author of Sugata Saurabha: A Poem on the Life of the Buddha by Chittadhar Hridaya of Nepal (Todd Lewis 2012-04-11)

Donald S. Lopez, Jr. is Arthur E. Link Distinguished University Professor of Buddhist and Tibetan Studies at the University of Michigan. A leading scholar of Buddhism, he is author or editor of more than twenty books. He lives in Ann Arbor, Michigan.

Very instructive and insightful. A good companion to Buddhist Modernism. I love the play with the title. The topics covered are things that every "secular" Buddhist should be aware of.

Excellent work in the history of science.

Haven't finished it, but the historical first half alone is worth the price of admission. Lopez is clearly brilliant, and his perspective is worth a look. Withhold criticism on every point & idea, because he sometimes takes his time coming around to the opposite side of an argument or idea. Bright author, valuable book, regardless of your personal point of view.

Donald Lopez does a brilliant job of discussing the limitations of the view of Buddhism as a science. I am a scientist and a Zen practitioner and very open to scientific explanations -- but many are not founded on scientific reasoning - Lopez shows why.

I believe in stating my own background and biases first. I have practiced Zen for nearly forty years under well-respected and traditional teachers. I am a research professor (nothing related to Buddhism, but very familiar with academic pressures, politics, and promotion.) In my experience Buddhism and science are not identical; they have very different origins, motivations and ways of explaining matters. I share what I think is Lopez's concern about facile equation of science and religion (not only Buddhism). I recognize that Buddhism is very susceptible to one-with-everything assimilation of other traditions, not merely scientific ones. I would not like to see Buddhism reduced to a subset of mechanistic science, nor merely to stress-reduction techniques. In these opinions I believe I agree with Lopez (although it is often hard to tell; like many scholars, he obscures his own stance by citing sources.) Lopez (whom I'll refer to by initials, DSL) is clearly expert in the history of Buddhism; online indications are that he reads Tibetan and other primary languages. I can't document whether he actually practices meditation, but his writing has the feel of a celibate student of love poetry (and occasionally, of one who thinks he knows love better than the lovers). What is very clear is that DSL is a scholar, and takes that calling extremely seriously. Too seriously, I believe, to be well positioned to critique the scientific tradition, because his idea of scholarship is bound up in that tradition, especially its equation of documentation with Truth. Lopez writes (p. 78), "It is not the role of the scholar to protect, preserve, and defend the religion that he or she studies... It is the task of the scholar to document and analyze those efforts. Religions change over time. It is the task of the scholar to document and analyze that change..." 'Document and analyze' is DSL's definition of scholarship, and certainly not his alone. This is indeed the scientific attitude toward all scholarship, and imposes serious difficulties throughout the social sciences. It takes as given the assumption (more valid in the 'hard' sciences) that factual data is documentable in an unambiguous manner. This is far from true when studying any matter of human behavior, and especially religious history. Imagine trying to establish the true causes of the economic situation in the contemporary

USA, strictly relying on documents as evidence. If you went merely by the numbers and by who was most prolific, T-party opinions would clearly be elevated to Truth. The issue is far worse in studying the past: social values and languages change, and a high percentage of 'documents' are randomly destroyed or lost. Past social movements often can't be checked against statistics, especially if they are about ethics or cosmology. The likelihood of arriving at Truth via documents becomes rather slim. In order to contrast Science against Buddhism, DSL invokes dozens of legitimate examples of superstitious Buddhists. We all know that there are Christians, individually and as groups, whose prime belief was summed up by Janis Joplin: Lord Won't You Buy Me a Mercedes Benz. The existence of such superstitious selfishness does not invalidate the teachings of Christ. It does not increase (or decrease) the imperfect historical documentation of those teachings. It does not diminish the value of Christian compassion, self-sacrifice, insight into the human condition, or the social activism of some branches of the Christian family tree. Unfortunately, using his specialized knowledge of a tradition that is still exotic to many Westerners, DSL cherry-picks the most superstitious aspects of Buddhism's long history to show that Buddhism is not Scientific. He also under-represents the traditions, particularly Zen/Chan, that long ago jettisoned literal belief in the metaphors that seem superstitious to us today. Buddhism is not Science, but the two fields (insofar as anyone can talk about them as monolithic) share some key attitudes, alongside significant differences. Both view the operation of the universe as governed by laws, not by the whim of anthropomorphic deities. Both believe that humans can appreciate, if not fully articulate, the Way of the universe. Both believe that understanding must come from direct experience, and warn against basing truth on nothing but authority. To the extent that can be documented, these attitudes were strongly present in the teachings of the historical Buddha, Shakyamuni. In addition, Buddhism views human experience as primarily human, and suffering as the result, not of supernatural punishment or failure to please, but of misunderstanding and/or rejecting universal patterns of cause and effect. This is a very scientific attitude, as is the notion that human suffering must be transcended within the realm of human experience, not through appeals to external divinities. The fact that there are sects of Buddhism that do not practice very close to these core principles should not obscure their centrality throughout the Buddhist world. Buddhist practice (and I differentiate this strongly from Buddhist narrative-making) is, in my opinion, highly compatible with the attitudes of Western science. Real faith in Christianity causes serious trouble for many believers to accept the Big Bang or Darwin, but practicing Buddhists by and large have no such difficulty (there are sectarian differences, of course). Buddhism, it should be remembered, was the product of a time in which knowledge came only via the un-instrumented human senses. Thus, if an early Buddhist says that

physical matter is made up of tiny dust-motes swept together by a cosmic wind, we should neither see this as a precise expression, anticipating theories of subatomic particles, nor reject it as superstitious and utterly inaccurate. This does NOT mean that the experiential truths of a Buddhist practitioner are identical to the research results of a physicist. But is a biologist non-scientific because his/her results do not include quarks, nor measure behavior in terms of electron exchange? Obviously not. What makes both biology and physics 'scientific' is the insistence on careful observation of actual experience. And this insistence is a core part of Buddhism, despite being ignored in some sects and eras. Buddhism is focused on the mind, and as B. Alan Wallace points out so clearly, practitioners are expected to observe mental phenomena with unusual care and detachment. The only argument against this qualifying as a 'scientific' approach boils down to Cartesian mind-body dualism: the assumption that the mind can reliably observe products of matter, but not products of mind. Given that we now accept that we can 'observe' the Higgs Boson, which is very nearly beyond our instrumentation let alone our ordinary senses, this assumption is fraying at the edges. Humans conceptualize and teach through metaphor. In virtually every human endeavor (including pure mathematics, if George Lakoff is to be believed), a certain percentage of metaphorical teaching devices are mistaken for documented fact. Seeing through this conundrum is, to me, the real task of a scholar. Unfortunately, Deconstructivism has convinced too many current scholars that their goal is to find something, anything, to dismiss as myth. I will happily admit that I may have misread DSL - but I am a careful reader, and knowledgeable about his subject, and I will place the confusion squarely at his feet. I cannot be certain which "myth" he thinks he is busting. I entirely agree with him that it is revisionist nonsense to say that Shakyamuni could have stated  $e=mc^2$  if he'd cared to mess with the math, and I don't disagree that there have been many Westerners who have deliberately or foolishly adopted such nonsensical views. I cannot agree when he treats the popularity of Mindfulness exercises as "reducing" Buddhism; I've talked to or read many of the proponents of mindfulness therapies, and they see mindfulness as a small, useful piece of Buddhist knowledge, applicable without strings attached. None of them claim mindfulness replaces Buddhism as a whole. Ultimately, The Scientific Buddha is an invention, not of Victorians, but of Lopez himself, by overstating a simpler truth. Many Buddhist practitioners would say that Buddhist attitudes are generally conducive to science, and vice versa. Compared to other religions, Buddhism is relatively free of impediments to scientific thinking. That is far from being a 'myth' that needs busting.

It's telling that this book has garnered two negative reviews which are completely unjustified. Given

the proliferation of dubiously-credentialed "dharma teachers" and "Buddhist teachers" attempting to make careers out of teaching supposedly "Pragmatic Buddhism" or "Secular Buddhism," it's no surprise that a book which exposes the fundamental absurdity of those enterprises is most unwelcome to some and subject to a smear campaign. Lopez is very effective in providing the broad context of the centuries-long effort to present Buddhism, or various iterations of it, as somehow "scientific" and "pragmatic" and "secular." Reviewer toronto, in giving the book two stars, upbraids Lopez for his supposed failure to note that the "original Buddhism teachings" are miraculously "in accord with contemporary scientific understanding" whereas everything discordant was "larded on later." He or she clearly did not read this book very carefully (or at all), because as it happens Lopez very thoroughly demonstrates that this assertion is propaganda, flatly untrue. To the extent that we can ascertain what the "original teachings" of the "historical Buddha" are--and that extent is limited to nonexistent--they are just as pervaded with magic and religiosity and fantastical unscientific assertions as everything that followed. "Secular Buddhism" is as fundamentally oxymoronic as "Scientific Astrology," and efforts to assert otherwise have been either badly misinformed or willfully dishonest. Lopez, however, is very careful to spell out his respect for the Buddhist traditions, and offers some reasonable comments on the ways in which people in the modern world might fruitfully engage with those traditions -- on their own terms, and not after they have been distorted beyond all recognition by opportunistic wishful thinking.

An exercise in illogic resulting from a forced attempt to connect superficial knowledge of the subject (both Buddhism and Science). Still useful if you are interested in developing an understanding of how misplaced intent can lead us astray. Conclusions drawn in the book are as valid as the theory of African origin of Buddhism.

The author has completely missed the real intended meaning and usage of the word Karma.. The term is used for all actions that are binding.. that is the binding commitment.. that one can not get out until completed.. Lopez has missed the point in virtually all of his books..

[Download to continue reading...](#)

The Scientific Buddha: His Short and Happy Life (The Terry Lectures Series) TERRY PRATCHETT: SERIES READING ORDER: MY READING CHECKLIST: DISCWORLD SERIES, THE SCIENCE OF DISCWORLD SERIES, TERRY PRATCHETTÃ¢â„¢S OTHER BOOKS BASED ON DISCWORLD Buddha's Belly : Recipes For An Enlightened Mind: Mindful and Healthy Eating Based on Buddha's Diet Philosophy. Asian Vegetarian Cookbook Meals to Connect ... Your Inner

Soul (Buddha's Belly Series 1) Hegland and Fleming's A Short and Happy Guide to Elder Law (Short and Happy Series) Franzese's A Short and Happy Guide to Property, 2d (Short and Happy Series) Schechter's A Short and Happy Guide to Torts (Short and Happy Series) Ourselves Unborn: An Embryologist's Essay on Man (The Terry Lectures Series) The Courage to Be: Third Edition (The Terry Lectures Series) One World: The Ethics of Globalization (The Terry Lectures) A Short & Happy Guide to Federal Income Taxation (Short & Happy Guides) A Short & Happy Guide to Bankruptcy (Short & Happy Guides) A Short & Happy Guide to Business Organizations (Short & Happy Guides) A Short & Happy Guide to Property (Short & Happy Guides) A Short & Happy Guide to Torts (Short & Happy Guides) A Short & Happy Guide to Constitutional Law (Short & Happy Guides) A Short & Happy Guide to Elder Law (Short & Happy Guides) A Short & Happy Guide to Mediation (Short & Happy Guides) A Short & Happy Guide to Civil Procedure (Short & Happy Guides) Happy, Happy, Happy: My Life and Legacy as the Duck Commander Buddha's Belly - Authentic Flavors From The East: Healthy, Flavorful Buddhist Recipes Cookbook from Nepal , Tibet , Bhutan , Myanmar, Laos , Cambodia. ... Consciously (Buddha's Belly Series 2)

[Contact Us](#)

[DMCA](#)

[Privacy](#)

[FAQ & Help](#)